

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

# **The Effectiveness of Riparian Buffer Zones for Protecting Waterways during Harvest in the Pipiwai Forest in Northland, New Zealand**

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of  
Master of Applied Science in Natural Resource Management

Massey University  
Palmerston North, New Zealand



**Ian Hanmore**

October 2007



## Abstract

The harvest of plantation forests has the potential to cause significant negative impacts on the waterways that flow through them. It has been proposed that to mitigate any such impacts waterways should be protected by undisturbed riparian buffer zones (RBZ). As such, this research has been conducted to investigate if RBZs protect plantation waterways during harvest. To do this a case study was carried out in the Pipiwai forest, one of Carter Holt Harvey Forests (CHHF) Northland plantations. In the investigation, 15 first order streams were sampled using an extended version of NIWA's stream health monitoring and assessment kit (SHMAK). The samples were taken from three different stream treatments, those harvested with undisturbed buffers, harvested with no buffers (clearcut) and standing mature pine forest. Each site had the quality of its aquatic and riparian habitats and invertebrate communities assessed via the SHMAK, which presented a rating for each stream's health. Statistical analysis was also carried out to determine if any differences in the results were significant or simply an expression of the variation that could be expected in a single population. The management of the plantation was also investigated. CHHF managers were interviewed to determine the activities that could have impacted on the forest's waterways.

The results showed that clearcut streams had degraded riparian and aquatic habitats through the loss of vegetation, exposed and eroding soil, and increased streambed sedimentation. This degradation was reflected in the invertebrate communities which were dominated by high numbers of pollutant tolerant species such as mollusks and midges. Buffered waterways, however, had no such degradation and their invertebrate communities had high numbers of pristine requiring invertebrates such as mayflies. Statistical analysis showed that the habitat and invertebrate scores of the clearcut sites were significantly lower than the buffered and pine sites, and it also showed there was no significant difference between the buffered sites and the mature pine sites.

The results also showed that the management of the Pipiwai plantation was conducted to industry and council standards, but that this was insufficient to prevent the degradation of the waterways in the clearcut catchments.

The two main conclusions of this research were that RBZs in the Pipiwai forest protected waterways from degradation during plantation harvest and maintained them in a state similar to that of standing mature pine forest, and that management practices and regulations in use at the time of harvest, though within industry and government standards, were unable to prevent waterway degradation and achieve results equal to those of the RBZs.

## Acknowledgements

This research would not have been possible without the guidance and support of many individuals and organisations. I would therefore like to acknowledge their input and express my heartfelt thanks to them all.

To my supervisors, Dr Terry Kelly, Dr Mike Joy and Dr Russell Death, thank you for your guidance in getting me started on the right road and helping me stay there to the journeys end. Thank you for your invaluable critique and positive input. A special thank you to Dr Terry Kelly for all of the proof reading you did.

Many thanks to the Carter Holt Harvey Forests in Northland for access to the Pipiwai Forest and for supplying so much background information. Special thanks to Ursula Albrecht for all the time you spent in facilitating this process and for answering all my questions. Also, thanks to Peter Houston and Greg Nielsen for taking time out of their busy schedules to answer my questions.

To the Northland Regional Council for giving me access to their resources and for their financial assistance. In particular Bob Cathcart who has been nothing but helpful and supportive, and Lisa Maria for your time working on GIS maps.

The Northland Polytechnic, for the use of their laboratory and equipment, in particular Andrew Saunders who took time out of his very busy schedule to organise this.

To Dr John Holland, for his support and encouragement in undertaking this research and securing financial assistance.

To the vice-chancellors committee, for the Pukehou Pouto scholarship, without which I would not have been able to complete this research.

For the Taranaki Tree Crops, and the Helen E Akers scholarships which have also allowed me to complete my research.

To Denise Stewart, who was the cheerful voice who so often answered the phone calls of an extramural trying to find someone or something. Thank you for all your help and for never being wearied by my many phone calls.

To my children, who when I was swamped with work helped me to still enjoy life and see what was really important.

To my wife, who through all this time has gone without in so many ways and has still kept our family running, you are the most amazing woman I know. Without you this would never have been achieved.

Most of all to God, who has taught me so much, and challenged me in so many more ways than through simple intellectual education. As always, your hand has provided for us, and lead us on this journey.



# Table of Contents

<b>Abstract.....</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>Acknowledgements .....</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>Table of Contents .....</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>List of Figures.....</b>	<b>viii</b>
<b>List of Tables .....</b>	<b>x</b>
<b>Chapter One Introduction .....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Overview .....	1
1.2 Research Problem .....	3
1.3 Aim .....	4
1.4 Research Questions and Objectives .....	4
1.5 Research Approach .....	5
1.6 Thesis Layout.....	6
<b>Chapter Two Literature Review.....</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1 Introduction.....	7
2.2 Sustainability.....	7
2.3 Sustainability and Waterways.....	11
2.4 Plantation Forestry in New Zealand .....	12
2.5 Overview of a Forestry Rotation.....	14
2.5.1 Plantation Establishment.....	14
2.5.2 Plantation Growth .....	15
2.5.3 Plantation Harvest.....	15
2.6 Plantation Forestry's Impacts on Waterways .....	18
2.6.1 Establishment.....	18
2.6.2 Growth .....	19
2.6.3 Harvest .....	21
2.7 Implications of Waterway Impacts .....	26
2.7.1 Sedimentation .....	26
2.7.2 Increased Solar Radiation Inputs .....	27
2.7.3 Waterway Slash .....	28
2.7.4 Increased Disturbance Frequency .....	28



2.8	Current Management of Waterway Impacts .....	29
2.8.1	Local Government Regulation .....	29
2.8.2	Industry Regulation .....	32
2.9	The use of Riparian Buffer Zones .....	34
2.10	Implications of Riparian Buffer Zones .....	36
<b>Chapter Three Background .....</b>		<b>39</b>
3.1	The Northland Context .....	39
3.2	Pipiwai Forest .....	41
3.2.1	Plantation Management .....	43
3.3	Climate .....	43
<b>Chapter Four Methodology .....</b>		<b>45</b>
4.1	Introduction .....	45
4.2	Research Approach .....	46
4.2.1	Research Design .....	46
4.2.2	Data Acquisition .....	47
4.2	Site Selection .....	49
4.4	Site Descriptions .....	51
4.4.1	Riparian Buffer Zone Sample Sites .....	52
4.4.2	Clearcut Sample Sites .....	54
4.4.3	Pine Sample Sites .....	57
4.5	Fieldwork .....	59
4.5.1	SHMAK .....	59
4.5.2	Data Acquisition .....	61
4.6	Data Analysis .....	64
4.7	Statistical Analysis .....	65
4.8	Management Data Acquisition .....	65
4.9	Research Limitations .....	67
<b>Chapter Five Results .....</b>		<b>69</b>
5.1	Introduction .....	69
5.2	SHMAK Stream Health Assessment .....	70
5.2.1	Stream Habitats .....	70
5.2.2	Stream Invertebrates .....	77
5.2.3	Stream Periphyton Growth .....	85
5.3	Management Data .....	86
5.3.1	Environmental Guidelines for Plantation Harvest .....	86
5.3.2	Harvest Planning .....	87

5.3.3	Methods of Plantation Harvest.....	91
5.3.4	Second Rotation Establishment .....	94
5.3.5	Implications of RBZ Inclusion in Plantation Forests.....	94
5.3.6	General Plantation Management.....	95
5.3.7	General Site Observations.....	96
<b>Chapter Six Discussion .....</b>		<b>97</b>
6.1	SHMAK Results .....	97
6.1.1	Habitat Scores .....	97
6.1.2	Invertebrate Scores.....	98
6.2	Periphyton .....	98
6.3	Water Quality.....	99
6.3.1	Waterway System Changes.....	100
6.4	Impacts of Harvest Management .....	101
6.4.1	Soil Disturbance.....	101
6.4.2	Slash Inputs .....	104
6.4.3	Mechanical Disturbance.....	105
6.4.4	Surface Run-off.....	106
6.5	Impacts of Riparian Tree Removal .....	106
6.5.1	Solar Radiation Input .....	106
6.5.2	Decreased Tree Canopy Inputs .....	108
6.5.3	Increased Erosion Vulnerability .....	108
6.5.4	Nutrient Cycling.....	109
6.6	Riparian Buffer Zone Waterway Protection .....	109
6.7	Plantation Management .....	110
<b>Chapter Seven Conclusions and Recommendations.....</b>		<b>113</b>
7.1	Conclusions.....	113
7.2	Recommendations.....	115
<b>References.....</b>		<b>119</b>
<b>Appendices.....</b>		<b>129</b>
Appendix 1 Regional Soil and Water Plan for Northland .....		129
Appendix 2 Riparian Management Zone Definition .....		137
Appendix 3 SHMAK Monitoring Forms.....		138
Appendix 4 SHMAK Stream Health Graphs.....		142
Appendix 5 SHMAK Stream Monitoring Data .....		151
Appendix 6 Sediment Generation Figures.....		176
Appendix 7 Interview Guidelines .....		177

## List of Figures

2.1	The weak sustainability model (Parliamentary Commissioner for the Environment, 2002) .....	8
2.2	The strong sustainability model (Parliamentary Commissioner for the Environment, 2002). .....	9
2.3	Ridge top excavation.....	16
2.4	Hill side excavation including bench cutting.....	17
3.1	Map of the North Island of New Zealand showing the location of the Piiwai Forest. ....	42
3.2	Average monthly rainfall (in mm) for the Piiwai Forest. ....	44
4.1	Map of the Piiwai stream sample sites, clearcut sites are marked by red dots, RBZ sites with blue and pine sites with black. ....	51
4.2	RBZ sample site one. ....	52
4.3	RBZ sample site two. ....	52
4.4	RBZ sample site three. ....	53
4.5	RBZ sample site four. ....	53
4.6	RBZ sample site five.....	54
4.7	Clearcut sample site one. ....	54
4.8	Clearcut sample site two. ....	55
4.9	Clearcut sample site three. ....	55
4.10	Clearcut sample site four. ....	56
4.11	Clearcut sample site five.....	56
4.12	Pine sample site one.....	57
4.13	Pine sample site two.....	57
4.14	Pine sample site three.....	58
4.15	Pine sample site four. ....	58
4.16	Pine sample site five. ....	59



5.1	Average SHMAK habitat scores for the three stream treatments, the pine and buffered sites were significantly higher (at the 0.05 level) than the clearcut sites.....	71
5.2	Average stream velocities (in m/s) for each of the three stream treatments.....	72
5.3	Average stream pH for the three stream treatments.....	73
5.4	Average water conductivity (in $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ ) for each of the three stream treatments.....	74
5.5	Average water clarity (in mm) at each of the three stream treatments. ....	75
5.6	Depth of streambed sedimentation (in mm) for each sample site at the three stream treatments. ....	76
5.7	Average percentage of stream shade for each of the three stream treatments.....	77
5.8	Average SHMAK invertebrate scores for the three stream treatments showing the pine and buffered sites as significantly higher than the clearcut sites.....	78
5.9	Average number of invertebrate species at each of the three stream treatments.....	78
5.10	Average number of invertebrates at each of the three stream treatments. ....	79
5.11	Average MCI score for each of the three stream treatments. ....	80
5.12	Average QMCI score for each of the three stream treatments.....	81
5.13	Average Species composition for each RBZ sample site. ....	82
5.14	Average Species composition for each Clearcut sample site.....	83
5.15	Average Species composition for each Pine sample site. ....	84
5.16	Average SHMAK periphyton scores for the three stream treatments, showing pine sites significantly (0.05) higher than buffered sites.....	85

## List of Tables

5.1	Water temperature ( $^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) and time the sample was taken. ....	74
5.2	CHH stream classification matrix for determining stream type classification. ....	89
5.3	CHH stream classification matrix for determining stream risk classification. ....	89
5.4	CHH stream classification operating standards. ....	90

# Introduction

---

## Chapter One

### 1.1 Overview

Timber production from plantation forests is one of New Zealand's largest industries. The wood produced is used in the manufacture of chips, pulp, paper, various types of board, as well as sawn timber, logs and poles. It uses approximately 7% of the country's land area and comprised 11.3% of its exports in 2004 earning \$3.117 billion (New Zealand Forest Owners Association, 2005). It is an industry in which profit margins can at times be small and as with all export commodities, its profitability is affected by the value of New Zealand's dollar.

New Zealand's land use has been dominated by pastoral farming for many years (New Zealand Forest Owners Association, 2005), and as a result, plantation forests have largely been grown on land unsuitable for pastoral farming, land that has typically been steep with low fertility (Maclaren, 1996). Trends have changed a little over the last 10-15 years as farmers have diversified their enterprises and turned areas of farmland into plantation forest (Maclaren, 1996). The majority of plantations throughout the country are planted in *Pinus radiata*, a species originating in North America. It is the dominant species as it is fast growing, can grow well on many different soil types, and can be used in a diverse range of end products (Maclaren, 1996).

A typical forest rotation from planting to complete harvest, will take approximately 28 years. For the majority of this time the plantation will largely be left undisturbed.



Seedlings will be planted and in the first couple of years there may be some spraying carried out to eliminate weed competition, or the addition of fertilizer. Then for the next 25 to 26 years the trees will largely be left to grow with the only disturbances being thinning and possible pruning. As such, the plantation becomes part of the landscape, a constant feature to regular passersby or recreational users. However, at the completion of the rotation when the trees are harvested, drastic changes are made to the physical and visual environment. Seventy percent of the environmental disturbance that occurs through the entire rotation can take place at that time (Hicks and Harmsworth, 1989). Extensive earthworks are carried out to construct the infrastructure required to extract the timber. When this is completed the trees are then felled and removed from the site. In a matter of days large areas can be harvested, changing the landscape from green forested countryside, to a landscape of bare earth strewn with dead and dying tree debris. This not only results in drastic visual impacts, but it also causes significant disturbance to the physical environment. In a very short period, an area that has existed under a forest cover for around 20 years (since canopy closure) is reduced to a de-vegetated open environment. This process can have significant negative impacts on the ecosystem of that area. For these reasons, plantation forestry has been a topic of much debate and one into which considerable research has been conducted.

An area that has come under particular scrutiny has been the impact that plantation activities have on waterways. In the past, practices such as large scale burning, poor infrastructure construction, and careless tree extraction, have filled waterways with nutrients, sediment and slash, and caused significant negative impacts to these habitats and the aquatic communities they supported (Rosoman, 1994). In more recent years, following passage of the Resource Management Act 1991, there has become a greater requirement to minimize the negative effects that our activities have on the environment. This requirement is not only from government sectors but pressure is also coming from environmental and community groups (Rosoman, 1994). The forestry industry has also taken its own initiatives and has been conducting research into the effects of its activities and the means by which negative impacts can be mitigated or minimised. This has

occurred to the point where some companies have developed environmental standards that lift their operating practices above those required by local government regulations.

Despite the changes that have been made to forestry practices in the last 10-20 years, there are still issues regarding the environmental sustainability of forestry practices. These include increased solar radiation inputs due to the removal of riparian vegetation, increased sediment inputs due to soil disturbance, particularly immediately adjacent to waterways, (Hicks, 1998) and the significant amounts of slash that can be deposited into waterways during harvest. To address these issues and protect waterways from degradation during harvest, the use of riparian buffer zones has been proposed (Quinn *et al*, 2004; Baillie *et al*, 2005). This would be a controversial requirement as there would be financial ramifications for forestry owners if such a practice was employed. On this basis, there is a need for sound and independent research to be carried out in order to provide reliable data on the effects of plantation forestry practices and the most efficient means of addressing any negative impacts.

## 1.2 Research Problem

There has been considerable controversy over the environmental effects of plantation forestry and the sustainability of the industry within New Zealand (Rosoman, 1994; Maclaren, 1996). It has been both accused of causing significant environmental degradation and used as a method of environmental protection. With the passage of the Resource Management Act in 1991 there came a requirement to identify, and minimise or mitigate, any negative environmental effects of land use activities. As such, plantation forestry came under this new legislation. Combined with this Government initiative, the forestry industry has carried out significant amounts of research into its environmental effects and the means of mitigating them. One focus of both agencies has been the effects on waterways and water resources. As a result, forestry management has undergone many improvements in its practices over the last 15-20 years. Despite this,

there is still little doubt that aspects of forestry management cause at least short-term and possibly long-term waterway degradation.

To protect waterways from the impacts of forestry activities, particularly plantation harvest, it has been proposed that undisturbed native riparian buffer zones be compulsory for all plantation waterways. This is a controversial proposal as the impacts of plantation harvest may only be experienced in the short term, while the ramifications of buffer zone inclusion last for the long term. Such a requirement would impact negatively on the profits of forestry owners, on the efficiency of plantation harvest, and possibly on the environment. Furthermore, there have been few specific investigations into the effectiveness of riparian buffer zones at protecting plantation waterways, and the knowledge that is available is largely theoretical.

### **1.3 Aim**

The aim of this study is to determine the effectiveness of riparian buffer zones at protecting the waterways in the Pipiwai forest from the impacts of plantation harvest and the implications this has for forest management.

### **1.4 Research Questions and Objectives**

In order to achieve the above aim three research questions were proposed.

1. How has tree harvest impacted waterways with and without riparian buffer zones?
2. Has the quality of the buffered waterways been maintained at a significantly higher level than that of the clearcut waterways?



3. What implications do the answers to questions one and two have for plantation management?

In order to answer these questions, the following objectives were set:

1. Determine the quality of the invertebrates within the waterways under different plantation management regimes.
2. Determine physical water quality of the waterways under different plantation management regimes under different plantation management regimes.
3. Determine the quality of the waterway aquatic habitats under different plantation management regimes.
4. Determine the quality of the riparian habitats under different plantation management regimes.
5. Determine if there are significant differences in the stream ecosystem quality under the three management regimes.
6. Identify any management practices related to the plantation harvest that contributed to any waterway degradation or waterway protection.

## 1.5 Research Approach

Currently there is much interest in protecting waterways from degradation caused by various land use practices, with forestry being one of these. A measure highlighted as a means of achieving this has been the use of riparian buffer zones (RBZ). Such a move is controversial as it significantly impacts on forestry owners. As such, research is needed to determine the specific waterway impacts caused by plantation harvest and whether there are definite benefits produced by utilising RBZ. To this point there is a limited amount of data available in this area and knowledge is largely based on theory. This research therefore, will contribute more specific data on the effectiveness of riparian

buffer zones at protecting waterways during plantation harvest, and help to build an accurate understanding of sustainable plantation management.

To obtain data for this research, waterways within the Pipiwai forest that were both clearcut and buffered, as well as running through standing mature plantation, were sampled using an extended version of the Stream Health Monitoring and Assessment Kit (SHMAK) developed by the National Institute of Water and Atmosphere (NIWA) and Landcare Research. Analyses of the results from the SHMAK were used to determine how effective buffer zones were at protecting waterways from the impacts of plantation harvest.

## **1.6 Thesis Layout**

Following this introductory first chapter, chapter two presents a review of the literature relevant to plantation forestry including: the theory of sustainability, plantation forestry activities, local government regulations and industry operating standards. Chapter three presents the background to the research, including information regarding plantation forestry in Northland, the history, geology and climate of the Pipiwai plantation and an overview of the management regime employed by Carter Holt Harvey Forests (CHHF) for the plantation. Chapter four presents the methodology of the research, including its assumptions and limitations. Chapter five presents the results of the research, which are discussed in chapter six. The final chapter presents the conclusions and recommendations of this research, including recommendations for further research.